

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

GEORGE J. PROAKIS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION

### **ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS**

ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CHAIR DANIELLE EVANS, CLERK ELAINE SEVERINO JOSH SAFDIE ANNE BROCKELMAN DREW KANE, (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2019-53 Site: 54 Myrtle Street Date of Decision: July 17, 2019

Date of Decision: July 17, 2019
Decision: <u>Approved with Conditions</u>
Date Filed with City Clerk: July 19, 2019

# **ZBA DECISION**

Site: 54 Myrtle Street

**Applicant / Owner Name:** Christiane Bode-Boezio and Alessandro Boezio **Applicant / Owner Address:** 54 Myrtle Street #1, Somerville, MA 02145

City Councilor: Matthew McLaughlin

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicants and Owners, Christiane Bode-Boezio and Alessandro Boezio, seek a special permit under SZO §4.4.1 to alter a nonconforming structure by construction a two-story addition within the left side yard. RB Zone. Ward 1.

Zoning District/Ward:RB Zone. Ward 1Zoning Approval Sought:SZO §4.4.1Date of Application:May 16, 2019Date(s) of Public Hearing:July 17, 2019Date of Decision:July 17, 2019

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Case number ZBA 2019-53 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals in the Council Chambers at Somerville City Hall. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. On July 17, 2019, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.



Date: July 19, 2019 Case #: ZBA 2019-53 Site: 54 Myrtle Street

## **I.PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The proposal is to construct a two-story addition to Unit #1 in the left side yard. The addition will conform to the setback requirements. The proposal also includes site improvements to the driveway and landscape area. The proposal does not increase the parking requirement for the site.

### II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The structure is currently nonconforming with respect to required right side yard setback, which the proposal will not impact.

Section 4.4.1 states that "[l] awfully existing nonconforming structures other than one- and two-family dwellings may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered only by special permit authorized by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5. The SPGA must find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming building. In making the finding that the enlargement, extension, renovation or alteration will not be substantially more detrimental, the SPGA may consider, without limitation, impacts upon the following: traffic volumes, traffic congestion, adequacy of municipal water supply and sewer capacity, noise, odor, scale, on-street parking, shading, visual effects and neighborhood character."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, the Board finds that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. The proposal has been designed with setbacks that minimally impact the neighbors and the requirements for lot area, lot area per dwelling unit, ground coverage, landscaped area, pervious area, floor area ratio (FAR), building height, front yard setback, rear yard setback, left side yard setback, and street frontage will continue to be conforming to the requirements of the SZO.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to promote the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Somerville; to provide for and maintain the uniquely integrated structure of uses in the City; to lessen congestion in the streets; to protect health; to secure safety from fire, panic and other dangers; to provide



Page 3

Date: July 19, 2019 Case #: ZBA 2019-53 Site: 54 Myrtle Street

adequate light and air; to prevent the overcrowding of land; to avoid undue concentration of population; to conserve the value of land and buildings; to adequately protect the natural environment; to encourage the most appropriate use of land throughout the City; and to preserve and increase the amenities of the municipality.

The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the RB district, which is, "to establish and preserve medium density neighborhoods of one-, two- and three-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

*Surrounding Neighborhood:* The subject property is located on the western side of Myrtle Street on the block between Washington Street and Pearl Street. This stretch of Myrtle Street is mostly residential and the town-house style is common on this block.

Impacts of Proposal (Design and Compatibility): The proposed addition will be compatible in size and scale with the existing structure and the surrounding neighborhood. The exterior materials (siding, trim, and windows) will match that of the existing structure as closely as possible. The proposal also includes improved landscaping with added lawn area, permeable pavers, and a new wood fence (conditioned to be no taller than four feet high).

- 5. <u>Housing Impact:</u> Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.
- 6. <u>SomerVision Plan:</u> Complies with the applicable goals, policies and actions of the SomerVision plan, including the following, as appropriate: Preserve and enhance the character of Somerville's neighborhoods.

## **III.DECISION:**

Present and sitting were, Susan Fontano, Danielle Evans, Josh Safdie, Anne Brockelman, Elaine Severino, and Drew Kane (alt.). Upon making the above findings, Danielle Evans made a motion to approve the request for a Special Permit. Elaine Severino seconded the motion. The Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request **WITH CONDITIONS**. The following conditions were attached:

#	Condition	Timeframe for	Verified (initial)	Notes
		Compliance		



Date: July 19, 2019 Case #: ZBA 2019-53 Site: 54 Myrtle Street

	Approval is for the construction		BP/CO	ISD/Pln	
	addition. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the			g.	
		dians submitted by the			
	Applicant:				
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
1		Initial application			
1	May 16, 2019	submitted to the City			
		Clerk's Office			
	May 15, 2019	Plans submitted to OSPCD			
	Way 13, 2019	(1-15)			
	Any changes to the approved	(site plan or elevations/use)			
	that are not <i>de minimis</i> must r				
Con	struction Impacts		l		
	The applicant shall post the na	ame and phone number of the	During	Plng.	
2	general contractor at the site e	entrance where it is visible to	Construction		
	people passing by.				
	All construction materials and		During	T&P	
	onsite. If occupancy of the str	nance with the requirements of	Construction		
3	the Manual on Uniform Traffi				
	prior approval of the Traffic a				
	be obtained.				
	For the convenience of and re		During	ISD	
	during the construction phase,		Construction		
4	begin prior to 7:30am and shall finish no later than 5pm Monday through Friday. There shall be no construction or				
	construction-related work per				
	holidays.	formed on weekends of			
Des			l .	ı	1
5	Materials for siding, trim, win	dows, and doors must match	BP	Plng.	
	the existing structure as closel	y as possible.			
Site			1	1	
	Landscaping shall be installed		Perpetual	Plng. /	
6	compliance with the American Standards;	n Nurserymen's Association		ISD	
		vard must be no taller than four	Pernetual	ISD	
7	feet.	rard must be no taner than rour	respectual	15D	
Mis	cellaneous		l	1	l.
		e or alteration does not include	Ongoing	ISD /	
0	the provision for short term re			Plng.	
8	VRBO, or the like. Separate a	pprovals are needed for the			
	aforementioned uses.				
9	The Applicant, its successors		Cont.	ISD	
		f both the building and all on-			
	site amenities, including lands				
	parking areas and storm water				
Pub	clean, well kept and in good a lic Safety	na saic working order.	1	1	
	The Applicant or Owner shall	meet the Fire Prevention	CO	FP	
10	Bureau's requirements.				
					1



Page 5

Date: July 19, 2019 Case #: ZBA 2019-53 Site: 54 Myrtle Street

11	Per Somerville fire safety regulations, grills, barbecues, chimineas and the like are NOT permitted on decks or	Perpetual	FP/ISD	
	porches.			
Fina	al Sign-Off			
	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final sign	Plng.	
	working days in advance of a request for a final inspection	off		
12	by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was			
	constructed in accordance with the plans and information			
	submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.			



Page 6

Date: July 19, 2019 Case #: ZBA 2019-53 Site: 54 Myrtle Street

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Susan Fontano, <i>Chair</i> Danielle Evans, <i>Clerk</i> Josh Safdie Elaine Severino Anne Brockelman Drew Kane (Alt.)
Attest, by Planner:  Alexander Mello	
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	_
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty day City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40	
In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance she certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed a Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal he recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and independent of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of	fter the decision has been filed in the Office of the City has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner
Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special pbearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and ind of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certifical appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will refunder the permit may be ordered undone.	have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner te of title. The person exercising rights under a duly
The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or re Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed wi and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to recorded.	th any project favorably decided upon by this decision,

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_ in the Office of the City Clerk,

there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or any appeals that were filed have been finally dismissed or denied.

\_\_\_\_\_ there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Clerk, or



and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN

FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN

\_\_\_\_ there has been an appeal filed.

Signed\_

City Clerk Date